CSA

For the argument that it is ethical for a company work to with another country like China whose morals greatly differ from the ones of said home country we need to consider a few things. Using For the sake of the argument China will be used as the example the new county. Without said company coming into China, China would most likely contract a private in-state company to make the same products. China for example has blocked most social media and, in its place, made WeChat using Tencent a Chinese company. This means that the foreign company would not be doing any harm China nor impeding any of the Chinese rights. Next being a foreign company bringing in a product the product would already be made only have to go through a few changes to match up with the Chinese laws. This would make it more compatible with the products overseas. With regards to the case the apps that Apple deleted was also causing police injuries. This suggests that Apple’s presence gave more liberty to the Chinese that the Chinese government. With the Blizzard incident the player would not have been able to make the outcry. Considering that player is from Hong Kong if Chinese media were over it, they would most likely done a few things. They could hand him a script, shoot the interview first and after its done show it as live or finally just not interview the player at all.

For the argument against the working with the foreign country we can see that in the case that people not from the foreign country were still being held to their standards. The American company Blizzard was censoring political opinions when freedom of speech exists in America. They even as far as to ban the interviewers who did not even provoke the outburst form the player. This goes against America’s core values. Next the company would actively be helping China hurt its people. In China for example the government censors anything that it deems unfit for its people. So, for a company to come a give a search engine to China they would have to agree on making sure that the people stay in the dark. Based on the case is deleting apps from on a whim from the Chinese government because it is helping the protestors out. If something like this happened in America there would be outcries of censorship. Finally, with the NBA the tweet that the Rockets ‘general manger only made waves because this team had such a Chinese backing. Without out this it is really a non-issue. The tweet could not be seen by the Chinese people in the first place since twitter is blocked in China. This is how China is using its monetary power to force its morals on the United States.

The position that I will be taking is that it is not ethical to do business with China. While yes there is great monetary value in doing business, it requires doing to much damage to both the home country and the Chinese natural rights. First the argument for doing business based on ethics is barely a positive and for the most part neutral for the Chinese people. The argument essentially boils down to their natural rights were already reduced so it does not really matter who is doing the reducing or if I am giving them slightly more rights than China would. This is not ethically because you are gaining profits by reducing the natural rights from what has been decided by America. Next by doing business with China I am inviting them to start to reduce the natural rights back on American soil even when said rights are protected like in the case of the NBA or Blizzard when an American Collegiate team held up a sign in support of the liberation of Hong Kong and were promptly cut off the camera.